A REMARK ON THE RADICAL OF ODD PERFECT NUMBERS.

PH. ELLIA

ABSTRACT. If n is an odd perfect number with Euler's prime q, we show that if $3 \nmid n$ and $q \leq 148\,207$ (resp. if $3 \mid n$ and $q \leq 223$), then $\sqrt{n} \geq rad(n)$. We also show the non-existence of odd perfect numbers of certain forms.

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and let $n = \prod p_i^{\alpha_i}$ be its prime factorization. Then $rad(n) := \prod p_i$. The integer n is perfect if $\sigma(n) = 2n$, where σ is the sum of divisors function. In [4] Luca and Pomerance prove that if n is an odd perfect number, then $rad(n) \le 2n^{17/26}$. By a result of Euler, an odd perfect number (if there exist any) is of the form: $n = q^{4b+1} \cdot \prod p_i^{2a_i}$, with $q \equiv 1$ (4), the prime q is called the Euler's prime of n. Clearly if b > 0, then $\sqrt{n} \ge rad(n)$. Here we show that if $3 \nmid n$ and if q is small ($q \le 148207$), then this inequality holds (Prop. 3.1). We also show a similar result when $3 \mid n$, but with a much weaker bound ($q \le 223$). Computations are very limited and there is no doubt that with more computational power these results can be improved. By the way we also prove (Prop. 2.3, Prop. 2.5, Lemma 4.1) the non-existence of odd perfect numbers of certain types.

I thank the referee for suggesting the use of [2] and pointing to me the reference [5].

2. Perfect numbers of given types.

Following Brauer [1], we will use the following result:

Lemma 2.1. Let p be a positive prime. The diophantine equation: $p^2 + p + 1 = y^m$ has no solution for m > 1.

Proof. See [1].
$$\Box$$

Remark 2.2. (a) By the way observe that $(-19)^2 - 19 + 1 = 7^3$.

(b) We have also the following well known fact (p a positive prime): $3^m \mid p^2 + p + 1 \Leftrightarrow m = 1$ and $p \equiv 1$ (3). If q > 3 is a prime such that $q^m \mid p^2 + p + 1$, then $q \equiv 1$ (3).

Proposition 2.3. Let $n = qr_1^2r_2^2 \cdots r_l^2s^{2a}$, $q \equiv 1$ (4) be the prime factorization of the positive integer n. If $3 \nmid n$ or if $r_1 = 3$, then n is not perfect.

Proof. Assume n is perfect, then $\sigma(n) = 2n$ and:

$$n = q \cdot s^{2a} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} r_i^2 = \frac{q+1}{2} \cdot \sigma(s^{2a}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} (r_i^2 + r_i + 1)$$
 (1)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A99.

Key words and phrases. Odd perfect numbers, radical, Euler's prime.

2 PH. ELLIA

(1) Assume $3 \nmid n$. In this case $r_i \equiv 2$ (3), $\forall i$ and by (b) of 2.2: $(\prod^l r_i^2, \prod^l (r_i^2 + r_i + 1)) = 1$. It follows from (1) that:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} (r_i^2 + r_i + 1) \mid qs^{2a}$$
 (2)

- If $q \nmid r_i^2 + r_i + 1$, then $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 \mid s^{2a}$ and Lemma 2.1 implies $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 = s$. It may happen, but just for one index t, that $q \mid r_t^2 + r_t + 1$. In this case, by the previous step, $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 = s$, if $i \neq t$.

Since $l = \omega(n) - 2$ ($\omega(n)$ the number of prime factors of n) and since $\omega(n) \geq 9$ ([5]), we get $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 = s = r_j^2 + r_j + 1$ with $i \neq j$, which is impossible.

(2) Assume $r_1 = 3$. In this case, for i > 1, there are at most two r_i 's with $r_i \equiv 1$ (3). So we

may assume that $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (r_i^2 + r_i + 1) \mid qs^{2a}$. Since $l-3 = \omega(n) - 5 \ge 3$, we conclude as above. \square

Remark 2.4. It is known that no odd perfect number of the form $q^{4b+1}r_1^2r_2^2...r_l^2s^{2a}$ exist if a = 1 ([6]) and a = 2 ([1], [3]).

In the same vein:

Proposition 2.5. Let $n = q \cdot r_1^2 \cdot r_2^2 \cdots r_l^2 \cdot p_1^{2a_1} \cdot p_2^{2a_2}$, $q \equiv 1$ (4), $1 \le a_1 \le a_2$, q, r_i, p_j distinct positive primes. If n is an odd perfect number and if $3 \nmid n$, then $a_1 \ge 3$ and $a_2 \ge 9$.

Proof. By Prop. 2.3 we know that $a_2 \geq a_1 \geq 2$. We have $r_i \equiv 2$ (3), $\forall i$, it follows, as in the previous proof, that:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} (r_i^2 + r_i + 1) \mid q p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2}$$
(3)

It may happen that for one index i, say i = 1, $q \mid (r_1^2 + r_1 + 1)$. In any case we may assume that: $\prod_{i=1}^{r} (r_i^2 + r_i + 1) \mid p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2}$.

If $(r_i^2 + r_i + 1, p_t) = 1$, then by Lemma 2.1, $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 = p_j$, $\{t, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. So we may assume that for i = 4, ..., l: $r_i^2 + r_i + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_i} p_2^{\beta_i}$, with $\alpha_i \ge 1$, $\beta_i \ge 1$. It follows that: $l - 3 \le \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i \le 2a_i$. Since $l - 3 = \omega(n) - 6$ and since $\omega(n) \ge 12$ (see [5]), we get $a_1 \ge 3$. On the other hand, by [2], $\Omega(n) = 2l + 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 1$, the total number of primes dividing n, satisfies $\Omega(n) \geq 75$. It follows that $l-3 \geq 34-a_1-a_2$. Hence $34-a_1-a_2 \leq l-3 \leq 2a_1$, so $34 \le a_2 + 3a_1 \le 4a_2$ and $a_2 \ge 9$.

3. On the radical of odd perfect numbers relatively prime to 3.

We use the results of the previous section to investigate the radical of odd perfect numbers not divisible by 3. Our result is:

Proposition 3.1. Let $n = q^{4b+1} \cdot \prod p_i^{2a_i}$ be an odd perfect number. Assume $3 \nmid n$ and $q \leq 148207$, then $\sqrt{n} \geq rad(n)$.

Proof. The conclusion is clear if b > 0, so let's assume b = 0.

Assume there are at least three indices i such that $a_i \geq 2$, say $a_3 \geq a_2 \geq a_1 \geq 2$. Then $n \geq q \cdot p_1^4 \cdot p_2^4 \cdot p_3^4 \cdot \prod_{i>3} p_i^2$, hence $\sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{q} \cdot p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p_3^2 \cdot \prod_{i>3} p_i$. We have to show that under our assumptions: $p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p_3^2 \ge q$. Since $3 \nmid n$, we have $p_1 \ge 5$, $p_2 \ge 7$, $p_3 \ge 11$ and since $5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 = 148225$, we are done.

If there are less than three indices i such that $a_i \ge 2$, then by Prop. 2.5: $a_1 \ge 3$ and $a_2 \ge 9$ and $n \ge q \cdot p_1^6 \cdot p_2^{18} \cdot \prod_{i>2} p_i^2$ and it is enough to check: $p_1^4 \cdot p_2^{16} \ge q$. Since $p_1^4 \cdot p_2^{16} \ge 7^4 \cdot 5^{16} > 148\,207$,

we are done. \Box

4. The case $3 \mid n$.

If $n = q \cdot 3^{2a} \cdot p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdots p_l^2$ is an odd perfect number, we know by [1], that $a \ge 3$.

Lemma 4.1. If $n = q \cdot 3^6 \cdot \prod^t p_i^2$, where q, p_i are distinct primes > 3, then n is not perfect.

Proof. Assume n is perfect and write it as: $n = q \cdot 3^6 \cdot \prod^k p_j^2 \cdot \prod^l r_i^2$, where $p_j \equiv 1$ (3), $r_i \equiv 2$ (3) (and $q \equiv 1$ (4)). From $\sigma(n) = 2n$ we get:

$$n = q \cdot 3^6 \cdot \prod^k p_j^2 \cdot \prod^l r_i^2 = \frac{q+1}{2} \cdot \prod^k (p_j^2 + p_j + 1) \cdot \prod^l (r_i^2 + r_i + 1) \cdot 1093$$
 (4)

Where $1\,093 = \sigma(3^6)$ is a prime $\equiv 1\,(12)$. Since $p_j \equiv 1\,(3)$, $\sigma(p_j^2) = 3.c_j$ where $(3, c_j) = 1$ (see 2.2). It follows that $3^k \mid 3^6$, so $k \leq 6$ and we have:

$$q \cdot 3^{6-k} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_j^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} r_i^2 = \frac{q+1}{2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_j \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(r_i^2) \cdot 1093$$
 (5)

If 6-k>0, since $(3,c_j)=1$, $r_i\equiv 2$ (3) and $1\,093\equiv 1$ (3), $3^{6-k}\parallel (q+1)/2$. This implies $q\equiv 2$ (3). But then $(\sigma(r_i^2),q)=(c_j,q)=1$ (see 2.2) and $q\neq 1\,093$, so q cannot divide the LHS of (5) contradiction.

This shows k = 6, $q \equiv 1$ (12), moreover:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} r_i^2 \mid \frac{q+1}{2} \tag{6}$$

We have $q \neq 1\,093$. Indeed otherwise (q+1)/2 = 547 which is a prime $\equiv 1\,(3)$, so $p_1 = 547$. Then $\sigma(547^2) = 3 \times 163 \times 613$, so $p_2 = 163$, $p_3 = 613$. Since $\sigma(613^2) = 3 \times 7 \times 17\,923$, $\sigma(163^2) = 3 \times 7 \times 19 \times 67$, we get too many p_j 's $(p_4 = 7, p_5 = 17\,923, p_6 = 19, p_7 = 67)$.

So we may assume $p_1 = 1\,093$. We have $\sigma(p_1^2) = 3 \times 398\,581$, so $c_1 = 398\,581$ which is a prime $\equiv 1\,(12)$. If $c_1 = q$, then $(q+1)/2 = 17 \times 19 \times 617$. Since $17 \equiv 2\,(3)$, l > 0 and we get a contradiction with (6). We conclude that $p_2 = 398\,581$. Now $\sigma(p_2^2) = 3 \times 52\,955\,737\,381 = 3 \times 1\,621 \times 32\,668\,561$. Both $1\,621$ and $s_2 := 32\,668\,561$ are primes $\equiv 1\,(12)$.

If q = 1621, then $p_3 = s_2$ and (q + 1)/2 = 811 which is prime, so $p_4 = 811$. Now $\sigma(811^2) = 3 \times 31 \times 73 \times 97$: too many p_i 's again.

So we may assume $p_3 = 1621$. We have $\sigma(p_3^2) = 3 \times 7 \times 13 \times 9631$. Since $q \neq 7$, $p_4 = 7$. Then $\sigma(7^2) = 3 \times 19$, $p_5 = 19$: too many p_j 's again (one at most among s_2 , 13 and 9631 is q).

To conclude:

Proposition 4.2. Let $n = q^{4b+1} \cdot \prod p_i^{2a_i}$ be an odd perfect number. If $q \leq 223$, then $\sqrt{n} \geq rad(n)$.

PH. ELLIA 4

Proof. If $3 \nmid n$ use Prop. 3.1. Assume $3 \mid n$ and b = 0. Let $n = q \cdot 3^{2a} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{2a_i}$. If $a_2 \ge a_1 \ge 2$, then $n \ge q \cdot 3^2 \cdot p_1^4 \cdot p_2^4 \cdot \prod p_i^2$. We conclude since $p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \ge 5^2 \cdot 7^2 > 223 \ge q$. If $a_1 \ge 2$, $a_i = 1$ for i > 1, then $n = q \cdot 3^{2a} \cdot p_1^{2a_1} \cdot \prod p_i^2$. By Prop. 2.3, $a \ge 2$. We conclude since $p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \ge p_1^2 \cdot p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 = p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2 \cdot p$

since $9 \cdot p_1^2 \ge 9 \cdot 5^2 = 225 > q$.

Finally if $a_i = 1, \forall i$, then by Lemma 4.1, $a \geq 8$ and since $3^6 > 223$, we are done.

These results leave open the following problems: (i) improve these bounds, especially when 3 | n (feasible with some computational power); (ii) does the inequality $\sqrt{n} \ge rad(n)$ hold for every odd perfect number?

References

- [1] Brauer, A.: On the non-existence of odd perfect numbers of form $p^{\alpha}q_1^2q_2^2...q_{t-1}^2q_t^4$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49**, 712-718 (1943)
- [2] Hare, K.G.: New techniques for bounds on the total number of primes factors of an odd perfect number, Math. Comp., **76**, 2241-2248 (2007)
- [3] Kanold, H.J.: Verschärfung einer notwendigen Bedingung für die Existenz einer ungeraden vollkommenen Zahl, J. reine angew. Math., **184**, 116-124 (1942)
- [4] Luca, F.-Pomerance, C.: On the radical of a perfect number, New York J. Math. 16, 23-30 (2010)
- [5] Nielsen Pace, P.: Odd perfect numbers have at least nine distinct prime factors, Math. Comp., 76, 2109-2126
- [6] Steuerwald, R.: Verschärfung einen notwendigen Bedingung für die Existenz einen ungeraden vollkommenen Zahl, S-B Math.-Nat. Abt. Bayer. Akad. Wiss., 68-72 (1937)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, 35 VIA MACHIAVELLI, 44100 FERRARA E-mail address: phe@unife.it