

COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS PRIMITIVE STRUCTURES ON SPACE CURVES.

PH. ELLIA

ABSTRACT. A multiple structure X on a smooth curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is said to be *primitive* if X is locally contained in a smooth surface. We give some numerical conditions for a curve C to be a primitive set theoretical complete intersection (i.e. to have a primitive structure which is a complete intersection).

INTRODUCTION.

It is a long standing problem to know whether or not every smooth, irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ ($\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}_k^3$, k algebraically closed, of characteristic zero) is a set theoretic complete intersection (s.t.c.i.) of two surfaces F_a, F_b . We recall that C is a s.t.c.i. of F_a, F_b if $F_a \cap F_b = C$ as sets, i.e. $\sqrt{(F_a, F_b)} = \mathbb{I}(C)$, where $\mathbb{I}(C) = H_*^0(\mathcal{I}_C)$. It turns out that this is equivalent to the existence of a *multiple structure* X on C which is the complete intersection of F_a and F_b . A multiple structure on C is a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve whose support is C and such that $\deg(X) = md$, where $d = \deg(C)$. The integer m is called the *multiplicity* of X .

Following [1] we can distinguish three cases:

- a) X is a *primitive* structure: X is locally contained in a smooth surface; in our case this means that at each point $x \in C$ one of the two surfaces F_a, F_b is smooth.
- b) X is a *quasi-primitive* structure: X is generically contained in a smooth surface; in our case this means that there exists a finite subset $T \subset C$ such that for $x \in C \setminus T$, one of the two surfaces F_a, F_b is smooth at x ; if $y \in T$, both surfaces are singular at y .
- c) X is a *thick* structure: X contains the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of C ; in our case this means that both surfaces F_a, F_b are singular along C .

Definition 1. We say that C is a *primitive s.t.c.i. of type (a, b) , multiplicity m* , if there exists a primitive structure of multiplicity m on C which is the complete intersection of two surfaces of degrees a, b .

In this note we will consider only primitive s.t.c.i. First we show (Proposition 3) that given any curve C of degree d , genus g , there are only finitely many possible

Date: September 12, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H50, 14H45.

Key words and phrases. Space curves, multiple structures, set theoretical complete intersections.

(a, b, m) for C to be a primitive s.t.c.i. Now assume X is a primitive multiple structure on C which is a complete intersection: $X = F_a \cap F_b$, $a \leq b$. If $a < b$, F_a is uniquely defined, but F_b moves. For instance X is cut-off schematically by the surfaces of degree b . In particular the general $G \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(b))$ is smooth outside of C . We want to use this freedom to control the singularities of G along C . The main result of this note (Theorem 12) states that there exist integers n, k such that for every $p \in \text{Sing}(G)$, $(G, C)_p$ is a singularity of type A_n^k (see Definition.8). With this result we are able to give (Theorem 15) some new numerical conditions for C to be a primitive s.t.c.i. When applied to the case where C is a smooth rational quartic curve, these conditions yield (Corollary 16) a slight improvement on a earlier result of Jaffe ([3]). Finally, and unfortunately, we show (Remark 18) that the results of this note are not sufficient for giving a single example of a curve which is not a primitive s.t.c.i. Something else is needed.

As the reader will see, this note owes a lot to Jaffe's work ([3], [4]), so thank you to him. I also thank Massimiliano Mella for pointing to me the paper [5].

1. PRIMITIVE STRUCTURES.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth, irreducible curve of degree d , genus g . Assume there is a primitive structure X , of multiplicity m , on C which is the complete intersection of two surfaces F_a, F_b . Let $a = \deg(F_a), b = \deg(F_b), a \leq b$.

The Cohen-Macaulay filtration $C = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_k = X$ is defined by $X_i = C^{(i)} \cap X$ where $C^{(i)}$ is the i -th infinitesimal neighbourhood of C ($\mathcal{I}_{C^{(i)}} = \mathcal{I}_C^{i+1}$). If X is *primitive*, X_i is locally CM, in fact even locally complete intersection of multiplicity $i + 1$ (locally given by (x, y^{i+1}) in suitable coordinates). In particular X_1 is a double structure corresponding to a quotient, L^* , of the conormal bundle N_C^* , and we will say that X is a primitive structure of type L . For $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, we have exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow L^{*\otimes i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{i-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

It follows that:

$$(1) \quad p_a(X) = g - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \chi(L^{*\otimes i})$$

hence:

$$(2) \quad p_a(X) = m(g - 1) + 1 + lm(m - 1)/2$$

where $l = \deg(L)$, $L \subset N_C$.

Of course, since $X = F_a \cap F_b$, we also have:

$$(3) \quad p_a(X) = 1 + \frac{ab(a + b - 4)}{2}$$

and:

$$(4) \quad dm = ab$$

Combining everything we get:

$$(5) \quad 2g - 2 + l(m - 1) = d(a + b - 4)$$

We recall the following:

Lemma 2. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth, irreducible curve of degree d , genus g . Assume C is not contained in a plane. If $L \subset N_C$, then:*

$$(6) \quad l = \deg(L) \leq 3d + 2g - 4$$

Proof. The line bundle $L(-1) \subset N_C(-1)$ comes from a rank two sub-bundle $E_L \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)|_C$ containing $T_C(-1)$. The bundle E_L gives a Gauss map $\varphi_L : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3^*$. If $D = \varphi_L(C)$, then D is a curve of degree ≥ 2 . We have $\deg(\varphi_L) \cdot \deg(D) = 3d + 2g - 2 - l$ (see [2]). The conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth, irreducible curve of degree d , genus g . There exist finitely many (l, m, a, b) satisfying (5). In other words there exist finitely many possible (a, b, m) for C to be a primitive s.t.c.i. of surfaces of degrees a, b , with multiplicity m .*

Proof. If $l \leq 0$, from (5) we get $a + b - 4 \leq (2g - 2)/d$. Hence we have finitely many (a, b) . Since $m = ab/d$, each (a, b) determines m and l . From now on let us assume $l > 0$ and $a \leq b$. By Lemma 2, we have finitely many possible values of l . We may rewrite (5) as follows:

$$(7) \quad a = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{a}{b} - \frac{4}{b}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{b}$$

where $\alpha = d^2/l$, $\gamma = 2d(1 - g)/l + d$. Clearly $\gamma \leq 3d$. Hence $\gamma/b \leq d^{\frac{3}{b}} \leq d$ (we may assume $b \geq 3$). Now since $a \leq b$, $1 + a/b - 4/b < 2$. It follows that $a < 2\alpha + d = 2d^2/l + d$. So for each value of l we have finitely many possible a . We conclude since to any fixed (a, l) there corresponds a unique b . \square

The first candidate for a non s.t.c.i. curve in \mathbb{P}^3 is a rational quartic curve. In this case we have:

Lemma 4. *let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth rational quartic curve. If C is a primitive s.t.c.i. of type (a, b, m, l) then (a, b, m, l) is one of the following fifteen cases:*

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| l | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| (a, b) | (3,4) | (3,8) | (4,4) | (4,7) | (6,26) | (13,16) | (12,18) | (10,28) |
| m | 3 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 39 | 52 | 54 | 70 |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| l | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (a, b) | (9,48) | (28,33) | (22,50) | (20,67) | (19,84) | (18,118) | (17,220) |
| m | 108 | 231 | 275 | 335 | 399 | 531 | 935 |

Proof. A sub-bundle of $N_C \simeq 2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(7)$ has degree at most 7. From (5) we get $l > 0$, so $1 \leq l \leq 7$. Equation (5) reads like: $lm = 4(a + b) - 14 + l$. Since $m = ab/4$, we get: $b(la - 16) = 16a - 56 + 4l$, hence $la = 16 + 16a/b - (56 - 4l)/b$. Since $a \leq b$, we get: $a < 32/l$. Now for each l , $1 \leq l \leq 7$ and for each a , $3 \leq a < 32/l$, we compute $b = (16a - 56 + 4l)/(la - 16)$ (n.b. the case $la - 16 = 0$ is impossible). This can be done by hand but it is faster with a computer. \square

Remark 5. *Proposition 3 and Lemma 4 were first proved by Jaffe in [3] by a different method.*

2. SINGULARITIES AND NORMAL BUNDLE.

Let $C \subset S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth curve on the surface S of degree s . Assume $\dim(C \cap \text{Sing}(S)) = 0$. The inclusion $C \subset S$ yields $\mathcal{O}(-s) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C$, which, by restriction to C , gives $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow N_C^*(s)$. The section σ vanishes on $C \cap \text{Sing}(S)$. More precisely we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-s) \rightarrow N_C^* \rightarrow L^* \oplus T \rightarrow 0$$

where L is locally free of rank one and where T is a torsion sheaf with support on $C \cap \text{Sing}(S)$. This exact sequence defines a surjection $N_C^* \rightarrow L^* \rightarrow 0$ which in turn defines a double structure on C ; this double structure is nothing else than C "doubled on S " (i.e. the greatest locally Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of $C^{(1)} \cap S$ or, equivalently the subscheme of S defined by $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ (symbolic power) where \mathcal{I} is the ideal of C in S).

From the exact sequence above we get: $\deg(T) = \deg(L) - (2g - 2 + d(4 - s))$. Notice that: $\deg(T) = \deg(L) - \deg(\omega_C(4 - s))$ and that if S were smooth we would have $N_{C,S} \simeq \omega_C(4 - s)$; in this sense we can think to L as the "normal bundle" of C in S , with respect with the smooth case, $\deg(L)$ gets a contribution from the singularities of S lying on C .

Definition 6. *In the above situation we define $n(S, C)$, the contribution of the singularities of S to the normal bundle of C , by: $n(S, C) := \deg(L) + d(s - 4) - 2g + 2$.*

Remark 7. *In the terminology of [3], $n(S, C) = p_1(S, C)$. Of course, the computation of $n(S, C)$ is a local problem: $n(S, C) = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S) \cap C} n(S, C)_p$.*

Definition 8. *Following [3], a surface-curve pair (S, C) is a surface S with a curve $C \subset S$ such that C is a regular scheme not contained in $\text{Sing}(S)$. Given a surface-curve pair, one may consider, for every p in C the local pair $(S, C)_p$; this amounts to give the data (A, I) where $A = \mathcal{O}_{S,p}$ and where $I \subset A$ is the ideal of C . Assume p is a singularity of type A_n . Let E_1, \dots, E_n (with the natural numbering) denote the exceptional curves over p in the minimal resolution $f : S' \rightarrow S$. Then \overline{C} ,*

the strict transform of C , meets a unique exceptional curve E_k and $\overline{C}.E_k = 1$. In this case we will say that $(S, C)_p$ is a singularity of type A_n^k .

If p is an A_n singularity, every $(S, C)_p$ is analytically isomorphic to some A_n^k for some $k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ([4]).

Lemma 9. Assume $(S, C)_p$ is an A_n^k singularity, then $\overline{C}.E = \frac{k(n+1-k)}{(n+1)}$.

Proof. We have $f^*(C) = \overline{C} + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i E_i$ ($E = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i E_i$), writing $f^*(C).E_j = 0 = \delta_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i E_i E_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we get a linear system in the f_i 's; solving this system, we get $f_k = \frac{k(n+1-k)}{(n+1)}$. See [3] Prop.5.8 for more details. \square

Lemma 10. If $(S, C)_p$ is an A_n^k singularity with $k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $n(S, C)_p = k$.

Proof. Since the question is local we may assume S given by $xy + yz^k + xz^{n-k+1} = 0$ and C given by $I = (x, y)$ (cf [3]). Looking at the equation of $S \text{ mod. } I^2$, we get $n(S, C)_p = \min\{k, n - k + 1\} = k$ under our assumption. \square

We will need also the following

Lemma 11. Let $C \subset F_b$. Assume that F_b is normal with only rational double points (A, D, E double points). Let $f : \overline{F} \rightarrow F$ be the minimal resolution and let $f^*C = \overline{C} + E$ where \overline{C} is the strict transform of C and where E is an effective \mathbb{Q} divisor supported on the exceptional locus. With notations as above, if C is a set theoretic complete intersection on F_b , then $\overline{C}.E = d(b-4) + \frac{d^2}{b} + 2 - 2g$.

Proof. Since F_b has only rational singularities, $\omega_{\overline{F}} = f^*(\omega_{F_b}) \simeq f^*(\mathcal{O}_{F_b}(b-4))$. It follows that $\overline{C}.\overline{K} = d(b-4)$. By adjunction: $\overline{C}^2 + \overline{C}.\overline{K} = 2g - 2$ and we get $\overline{C}^2 = 2g - 2 - d(b-4)$. If C is a set theoretic complete intersection on F_b , then $f^*(mC) = f^*(\mathcal{O}_{F_b}(a))$. It follows that $f^*(C) = \frac{a}{(b-4)m}\overline{K}$, as \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Now, on the one hand: $f^*(C).\overline{C} = (\overline{C} + E)\overline{C} = 2g - 2 - d(b-4) + \overline{C}.E$ and on the other hand $f^*(C).\overline{C} = \frac{a}{(b-4)m}\overline{C}.\overline{K} = \frac{d^2}{b}$ (using $md = ab$). Combining the two we get the result. \square

3. A UNIFORMITY RESULT.

Assume X is a primitive multiple structure on C which is a complete intersection: $X = F_a \cap F_b$, $a \leq b$. If $a < b$, F_a is uniquely defined, but F_b moves: we may replace it by $F_a P + \lambda F_b$. For instance X is cut-off schematically by the surfaces of degree b . In particular the general $G \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(b))$ is smooth outside of C . We want to

use this freedom to control the singularities of G along C , where $G \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(b))$ is general. We have:

Theorem 12. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth, irreducible curve. Assume there exists a primitive structure, X , on C such that $X = F_a \cap F_b$, with $a \leq b$. Then the general surface, G , of degree b containing X is normal with at most rational singularities along C . More precisely, G is smooth outside of C and there exist integers n, k such that for every $p \in \text{Sing}(G)$, $(G, C)_p$ is a singularity of type A_n^k .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}_X(b)$ is generated by global sections, by Bertini's theorem, the general surface of degree b containing X is smooth outside of C , so we may assume that F_b is smooth out of C . Consider a general pencil, Δ , of degree b surfaces containing X : $\lambda F_a.P + \mu F_b$. As already observed, the general surface of this pencil is smooth outside of C . Moreover, since X is primitive, for every $x \in C$ there exists a surface of the pencil which is smooth at x , by [5] this implies that the general surface, S , of the pencil has at most singularities of type A_n . Indeed by [5] Theorem 4.4 S has only cA singularities. But normal cA singularities are canonical and since we are dealing with normal singularities of surfaces, they are rational (see Theorem 3.6 of [5]). Finally since the quadratic part of a local equation has rank at least 2, they are of type A_n .

The pencil $\Delta \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta^3 \rightarrow \Delta$ of degree b surfaces with only rational singularities. We may consider (after a base-change if necessary) a simultaneous resolution of the singularities, this resolution is obtained by successive blow-ups ([7]). After a certain number of blow-up, the general fiber of $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Delta$ is smooth; this shows that there exists n such that the general member of Δ has only A_n singularities.

We have a morphism $g : C \rightarrow \Delta : x \rightarrow F_x$ where F_x is the unique surface of Δ which is singular at x . Let $Y \subset C \times \Delta$ be the graph of g . Then Y is a unisecant on the ruled surface $p : C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$, (we have identified Δ to \mathbb{P}^1) hence Y is smooth, irreducible. In $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ consider the incidence $\mathcal{S} = \{(x, t) \mid x \in F_t\}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C} = C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}$ gives a morphism $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Restricting to \mathcal{C} , we get: $\varphi : p^*(\mathcal{O}(-b)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \rightarrow p^*(N_C^*)$, when restricted to a fiber of $q : C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, this morphism is the morphism $\mathcal{O}(-b) \rightarrow N_C^*$ induced by F_t . The cokernel of φ has a torsion subsheaf, \mathcal{T} , supported on Y . Since \mathcal{T} is locally free on an open subset of Y , we conclude (using Lemma 10) that there exists k such that the general member of Δ has at most A_n^k -singularities along C . \square

A first consequence:

Lemma 13. *Let X be a primitive structure of type L on a smooth, irreducible curve C , of degree d , genus g . Assume $X = F_a \cap F_b$, $a \leq b$. Assume F_b is general in the sense of Theorem 12, i.e. there exist n, k such that F_b has α singularities of type A_n^k on C and is smooth outside of C . Then $\alpha k = d(b - 4) - 2g + 2 + l$.*

Proof. We have $n(F_b, C) = \deg(L) - \deg \omega_C(4 - b) = l - 2g + 2 + d(b - 4)$ and the conclusion follows from Lemma 10. \square

We have other numerical conditions:

Proposition 14. *Assume X is a multiplicity m primitive structure of type L on a smooth, irreducible curve C such that $X = F_a \cap F_b$ with $a \leq b$, $b > 4$. Then there exist positive integers α, n, k with $k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\alpha k = d(b - 4) - 2g + 2 + l$
- (2) $n + 1 = \frac{\alpha b k^2}{lb - d^2}$
- (3) $\alpha n < \frac{\alpha n(n+2)}{n+1} \leq \frac{2b(b-1)^2}{3}$
- (4) In particular: $\frac{(A+l)(bA+d^2)}{lb-d^2} < \frac{2b(b-1)^2}{3}$, where: $A := d(b-4) - 2g + 2$.

Proof. We may assume that F_b is general in the sense of Theorem 12, hence there exist n, k such that F_b is normal and has α singularities of type A_n^k on C ; this defines the numbers α, n, k .

Condition (1) is Lemma 13.

By Lemma 11, $\overline{C}.E = A + (d^2/b)$ ($A := d(b-4) - 2g + 2$). Under our assumption and using Lemma 9 we get $\overline{C}.E = \frac{\alpha k(n+1-k)}{n+1}$. It follows that $A + (d^2/b) = \frac{\alpha k(n+1-k)}{n+1}$ (*). The difference (1)-(*) yields $(\alpha k^2)/(n+1) = (bl - d^2)/b$ and (2) follows.

From Miyaoka's inequality ([6] Cor.1.3 with $D = 0$) we get $\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(F_b)} \nu(p) \leq c_2(\tilde{F}_b) - \frac{c_1^2(\tilde{F}_b)}{3}$. For an A_n singularity, $\nu = (n+1 - \frac{1}{n+1})$ (the Euler number is $n+1$ and $|G| = n+1$). Since $c_1^2(\tilde{F}_b) = b(b-4)^2$ and since $c_2(\tilde{F}_b) = b^3 - 4b^2 + 6b$, we get $\frac{\alpha n(n+2)}{n+1} \leq \frac{2b(b-1)^2}{3}$ and (3) follows.

From (2) $n + 1 = (\alpha b k^2)/(lb - d^2)$. From (1) $\alpha k = A + l$. It follows that $n = \frac{bk(A+l)}{lb-d^2} - 1$. Hence $\alpha n = \frac{\alpha bk(A+l)}{lb-d^2} - \alpha$. From (1), $\alpha \leq A + l$. This implies $\alpha n \geq \frac{\alpha bk(A+l)}{lb-d^2} - (A+l) = (A+l)[b(\alpha k - l) + d^2]/(lb - d^2) = (A+l)[bA + d^2]/(lb - d^2)$. We conclude with (3). \square

Gathering everything together:

Theorem 15. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth, irreducible curve of degree d , genus g . If C is a primitive set theoretic complete intersection, then there exist integers a, b, l, m, α, n, k such that:*

- (1) $md = ab$
- (2) $2g - 2 + l(m - 1) = d(a + b - 4)$
- (3) $\alpha k = A + l$, where $A := d(b - 4) - 2g + 2$
- (4) $\alpha n < 2b(b - 1)^2/3$
- (5) $3(A + l)(bA + d^2) < 2b(lb - d^2)(b - 1)^2$

Applying this to the case $d = 4, g = 0$ we get:

Corollary 16. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth rational quartic curve. If C is a primitive s.t.c.i. of type (a, b, m, l) , then (a, b, m, l) is one of the following ten cases: $(a, b, l) \in \{(4, 7, 5), (6, 26, 3), (12, 18, 2), (10, 28, 2), (9, 48, 2), (22, 50, 1), (20, 67, 1), (19, 84, 1), (18, 118, 1), (17, 220, 1)\}$.*

Proof. We take the list of Lemma 4 and we apply Theorem 15. Thanks to condition (5) we can exclude five cases: $(a, b) = (3, 4), (3, 8), (4, 4), (13, 16), (28, 33)$. \square

Remark 17. *The cases with $a = 3$ were already excluded in [3] in a different way, so our improvement is limited to the exclusion of three cases $((4, 4), (13, 16), (28, 33))$.*

Remark 18. *Although for given (d, g) we know that there is a finite number of possible (a, b, m, l) (see Proposition 3) it turns out that for every (d, g) , the numerical conditions of Theorem 15 are always fulfilled. Indeed let us set $l = 1$. From (2) we get: $m = d(a + b - 4) - 2g + 3$. Since $m = ab/d$ by (1), it follows that: $b = (d^2a - 4d^2 - 2gd + 3d)/(a - d^2)$. Set $a = d^2 + 1$, then $b = d^4 - 3d^2 + 3d - 2gd$. Since $g \leq (d - 2)^2/4$ by Castelnuovo's bound, we have $0 < a \leq b$. Now take $k = 1$, so that $\alpha = A + 1$ by (3). Condition (4) is fulfilled by $n = 1$ (and also by many other values of n since $2b(b - 1)^2/(A + 1) \sim 2d^7/3$). Finally to check (5) first observe that under our assumptions: $A + 1 \leq db$ so it is enough to show that:*

$$0 < 2b^3 - b^2(4 + 5d^2) + 2b(1 + 4d^2) - 2d^2 - 3d^3$$

Now since $b \geq d^4 - d^3$ we have $2b(1 + 4d^2) - 2d^2 - 3d^3 > 0$ and $2b^3 - b^2(4 + 5d^2) > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banica, -Foster, O.: *Multiplicity structures on space curves*, in The Lefschetz centennial conference, part I, Contemp. Math., vol. **58**, 47-64, Amer. Math. Soc. (1986)
- [2] Hulek, K.-Sacchiero, G.: *On the normal bundle of elliptic space curves*, Arch. Math., **40**, 61-68 (1983)
- [3] Jaffe, D.B.: *Applications of iterated blow-up to set theoretic complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^3* , J. reine angew. Math., **464**, 1-45 (1995)
- [4] Jaffe, D.B.: *Local geometry of smooth curves passing through rational double points*, Math. Ann., **294**, 645-660 (1992)
- [5] Kollar, J.: *Singularities of pairs*, in *Algebraic Geometry, Santa Cruz 1995*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **62**, part 1, 221-287, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997)
- [6] Miyaoka, Y.: "The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants", Math. Ann., **268**, 159-171 (1984)
- [7] Pinkham, H.: *Résolution simultanée de points doubles rationnels* in Demazure, M. et al: *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces*, L.N.M. **777**, 179-205, Springer (1980)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, 35 VIA MACHIAVELLI, 44100 FERRARA
E-mail address: phe@unife.it