

# RAMANUJAN-NAGELL TYPE EQUATIONS AND PERFECT NUMBERS.

PH. ELLIA - P. MENEGATTI

ABSTRACT. We prove that if  $\delta$  is a triangular number congruent to 3 mod.4, then the equation  $x - y = \delta$  has a finite number of solutions with  $x, y$  both perfect numbers. We outline a general approach to determine the exact number of solutions and show that there is none for  $\delta = 3, 15$ .

## INTRODUCTION

An integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is said to be perfect if  $\sigma(n) = 2n$  where  $\sigma$  is the sum of divisors function. By results of Euler every even perfect number has the form  $n = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$  where  $2^p - 1$  is prime, whereas every odd perfect number is of the form  $n = q^{4b+1} \cdot \prod p_i^{2a_i}$ ,  $q, p_i$  distinct primes,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ; in particular if  $n$  is an odd perfect number, then  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . It is still unknown if odd perfect numbers exist (for some recent results see for example [7], [8]).

In [5], Luca and Pomerance have proved, *assuming* the *abc*-conjecture, that the equation  $x - y = \delta$  has a finite number of solutions with  $x, y$  perfect, if  $\delta$  is odd. Our interest in the distance between two perfect numbers comes from this result and the following obvious remark: if one could prove that an odd integer cannot be the distance between two perfect numbers, then it would follow that every perfect number is even.

From Touchard's theorem ([2]) it follows that an integer  $\delta \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$  cannot be the distance between two perfect numbers. In [1] it has been shown that there exist infinitely many odd (triangular) numbers ( $\not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$ ) which cannot be the distance between perfect numbers. In this note, by using results on generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equations, we prove that if  $\delta$  is a triangular number  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $x - y = \delta$  has a finite number of solutions with  $x, y$  perfect numbers. We also outline a general approach to determine the exact number of solutions. For example we show that  $\delta = 3, 15$  cannot be the distance between two perfect numbers.

## 1. RAMANUJAN-NAGELL EQUATIONS AND PERFECT NUMBERS.

Let  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  be non zero integers, then the equation (in  $x, n$ )

$$(1) \quad D_1 x^2 + D_2 = 2^n$$

---

*Date:* June 10, 2014.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A99.

*Key words and phrases.* Ramanujan-Nagell equations, distance, odd perfect numbers.

is a *generalized Ramanujan-Nagell* equation. Recall the following result of Thue (see for instance [4])

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ad \neq 0$ ,  $b^2 - 4ac \neq 0$ . Then the equation*

$$(2) \quad ax^2 + bx + c = dy^n$$

*has only a finite number of solutions in integers  $x$  and  $y$  when  $n \geq 3$*

Applying this result to  $D_1x^2 + D_2 = dy^3$ ,  $d = 1, 2, 4$ , we conclude that

**Corollary 2.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , equation (1) has a finite number of solutions  $(x, n)$ .*

An odd perfect number  $n$  is  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , while an even one,  $m$ , is  $\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  except if  $m = 6$ . It follows, for odd  $\delta$ , that  $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if  $\delta = n - m$  or  $\delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  if  $\delta = m - n$  or  $\delta + 6 = n$ . Using the result above we get:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\delta = b(b - 1)/2$  be a triangular number such that  $\delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then the equation  $x - y = \delta$  has a finite number of solutions with  $x, y$  both perfect.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $m - n = \delta = b(b - 1)/2$ , with  $m, n$  perfect numbers and  $m = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$ . Then we have:

$$(3) \quad 2n = (2^p - 1 + b)(2^p - b)$$

Moreover by Euler's theorem  $n = q^{4b+1} \prod p_i^{2a_i}$ ,  $q, p_i$  distinct primes,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Since  $(2^p - 1 + b, 2^p - b) = (2^p - 1 + b, 2b - 1)$ , if a prime,  $p$ , divides both  $A = 2^p - 1 + b$  and  $B = 2^p - b$ , it must divide  $2b - 1$ . In any case we can write  $A = p^\varepsilon \cdot A'$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $p^{2\alpha} \parallel A'$ . Similarly  $B = p^e \cdot B'$ ,  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $p^{2\beta} \parallel B'$ . It turns out that  $A$  or  $B$  is of the form:  $d$  times a square, where  $d$  is a (square free) divisor of  $2(2b - 1)$ . So  $2^p = dC^2 - b + 1$  or  $2^p = dD^2 + b$ . By Corollary 2 each equation  $dx^2 + D_2 = 2^n$  ( $D_2 = -b + 1$  or  $b$ ) has a finite number of solutions. Since  $2(2b - 1)$  has a finite number of divisors we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** *As far as  $\delta = b(b - 1)/2$  congruent to 3 mod. 4, in order to show that  $\delta$  can't be the distance between two perfect numbers one has:*

(1) *to show that  $\delta + 6$  is not perfect.*

(2) *for any square free divisor  $d$  of  $2(2b - 1)$  to solve the equations:  $dx^2 + D_2 = 2^n$  ( $D_2 \in \{-b + 1, b\}$ ) (see proof of Theorem 3). For any solution  $(x, n)$  such that  $n = p$  is prime, check if  $2^p - 1$  is prime. If it is, check if  $2^{p-1}(2^p - 1) - \delta$  is perfect.*

Since a great deal is known on the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equations (see [10] for a survey), in the practice, for a given  $\delta$ , the above procedure should allow to conclude (see also [6], [9] for an algorithmic approach). Sometimes it is possible to go faster, for example:

**Proposition 5.** *The equation  $x - y = 15$  has no solutions with  $x, y$  both perfect numbers.*

*Proof.* This is the case  $b = 6$  of Theorem 3. If the Euler prime,  $q$ , of  $n$  divides both  $A$  and  $B$  it must divide  $2b - 1 = 11$ , so  $q = 11$  which is impossible since  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . If  $q \mid B$ , then  $A = 2^p + 5 = dx^2$ , with  $d = 1$  or  $11$ . Since  $x$  is odd we get  $5 \equiv d \pmod{8}$  which is absurd. We conclude that  $q \mid A$  and  $B = 2^p - 6 = dx^2$ , where  $d \mid 22$ . Reducing mod.3 we see that  $d = 1$  is impossible. Reducing mod.4 we exclude the cases  $d = 11, 22$ . Finally it is easy to see that the unique solution of  $2x^2 + 6 = 2^n$  is  $(x, n) = (\pm 1, 3)$ .  $\square$

To conclude let us see another example, the case  $\delta = 3$  which is still open.

**Lemma 6.** *Assume  $m, n$  are perfect numbers such that  $m - n = 3$ . Then  $m = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$  with  $2^p - 1$  prime and  $2^p = 5u^2 + 3$  for some integer  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\delta = b(b-1)/2$  with  $b = 3$  and since  $\delta + 6 = 9$  is not perfect, we see that  $m$  is even and  $n$  is odd. So  $m = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$  with  $2^p - 1$  prime. Moreover  $n = (2^{p-1} + 1)(2^p - 3)$  (equation (3) in the proof of Theorem 3). Also  $M = g.c.d.(A, B) = 5$  or  $1$  ( $A = 2^{p-1} + 1$ ,  $B = 2^p - 3$ ).

If  $M = 1$ , from Euler's theorem,  $A$  or  $B$  is a square. Since  $A = (2^{(p-1)/2})^2 + 1$ ,  $A$  can't be a square. Since  $B = 2^p - 3 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $B$  can't be a square. It follows that  $M = 5$ .

Since  $n = m - 3$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we get  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . We also have  $n = qD^2$  ( $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the Euler's prime). It follows that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . In particular  $q \neq 5$ . Finally if  $q \nmid A$ , then  $A = 2^{p-1} + 1 = 5C^2$ . Since  $C$  is odd  $C^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Since we may assume  $p \geq 4$ , we get a contradiction. So  $q \mid A$  and  $B = 2^p - 3 = 5u^2$  for some integer  $u$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *If  $\delta = |x - y|$ , with  $x, y$  perfect numbers, then  $\delta > 3$ .*

*Proof.* The cases  $\delta \leq 2$  follow from considerations on congruences. If  $\delta = 3$ , then from Lemma 6:  $m - n = 3$ , where  $m = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$  and  $2^p = 5u^2 + 3$ . So  $(u, p)$  is a solution of the equation  $5x^2 + 3 = 2^n$ . It is known ([3]) that the only solutions in positive integers of this equations are  $(x, n) = (1, 3), (5, 7)$ . Since 25 and  $2^6(2^7 - 1) - 3 = 8125$  are not perfect numbers, we conclude.  $\square$

If  $\delta$  is not a triangular number congruent to  $3 \pmod{4}$  we don't have any longer the factorization  $2n = (2^p - 1 + b)(2^p - b)$  and things get harder. The cases  $\delta = 5, 7$  can be excluded by congruences considerations. However for odd  $\delta \leq 15$ , the case  $\delta = 9$  is still open, as is still open the problem to show that a triangular number  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  can't be the distance between two perfect numbers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ellia, Ph.: *On the distance between perfect numbers*, J. of Combinatorics and Number Theory, vol.4, n.2, 105-108 (2012)

- [2] Holdener, J.A.: *A theorem of Touchard on the form of odd perfect numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **109**, 661-663 (2002)
- [3] Le, M.: *On the diophantine equation  $D_1x^2 + D_2 = 2^{n+2}$* , Acta Arith. **64**, 29-41 (1993)
- [4] Ljunggren, W.: *On the diophantine equation  $Cx^2 + D = 2y^n$* , Math. Scand. **18**, 69-86 (1966)
- [5] Luca, F.-Pomerance, C.: *On the radical of a perfect number*, New York J. Math. **16**, 23-30 (2010)
- [6] Mignotte, M.: *On the automatic resolution of certain diophantine equation* in EUROSAM 8, Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **174**, 378-385 (1984)
- [7] Nielsen Pace, P.: *Odd perfect numbers have at least nine distinct prime factors*, Math. Comp., **76**, 2109-2126 (2007)
- [8] Ochem, P.-Rao, M.: *Odd perfect numbers are greater than  $10^{1500}$* , Math. Comp., **81**, 1869-1877 (2012)
- [9] Petho, A.-de Weger, B.M.M.: *Products of prime powers in binary recurrence sequences I: The hyperbolic case, with ana application to the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation*, Math. Comp. **47**, 713-727 (1986)
- [10] Saradha, N.-Srinivasan, A.: *Generalized Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell Equations*, in Saradha N. *Diophantine Equations*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India, 207-223 (2007)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, 35 VIA MACHIAVELLI, 44100 FERRARA  
E-mail address: phe@unife.it , paolo.menegatti@student.unife.it