SPACES OF MATRICES OF CONSTANT RANK AND UNIFORM VECTOR BUNDLES.

PH. ELLIA- P. MENEGATTI

Abstract. We consider the problem of determining $l(r,a)$, the maximal dimension of a subspace of $a \times a$ matrices of rank r. We first review, in the language of vector bundles, the known results. Then using known facts on uniform bundles we prove some new results and make a conjecture. Finally we determine $l(r;a)$ for every r, $1 \leq r \leq a$, when $a \leq 10$, showing that our conjecture holds true in this range.

Introduction.

Let A, B be k-vector spaces of dimensions a, b (k algebraically closed, of characteristic zero). A sub-vector space $M \subset \mathcal{L}(A,B)$ is said to be of (constant) rank r if every $f \in M, f \neq 0$, has rank r. The question considered in this paper is to determine $l(r,a,b) := max\{\dim M \mid M \subset \mathcal{L}(A,B) \text{ has rank } r\}$. This problem has been studied some time ago by various authors ([21], [19], [4],[9]) and has been recently reconsidered, especially in its (skew) symmetric version ([16], [17], [15], [5]).

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section we recall some basic facts. It is known, at least since [19], that to give a subspace M of constant rank r, dimension $n+1$, is equivalent to give an exact sequence: $0 \to F \to a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} b.\mathcal{O} \to E \to 0$, on $\mathbb{P}^n$, where F, E are vector bundles of ranks $(a-r)$, $(b-r)$. We observe that the bundle $\mathcal{E} := Im(\psi)$, of rank r, is *uniform*, of splitting type $(-1^c, 0^{r-c})$, where $c := c_1(E)$ (Lemma 2).

Then in Section two, we set $a = b$ to fix the ideas and we survey the known results (at least those we are aware of), giving a quick, uniform (!) treatment in the language of vector bundles. In Section three, using known results on uniform bundles, we obtain a new bound on $l(r;a)$ in the range $(2a+2)/3 > r > (a+2)/2$ (as well as some other results, see Theorem 18). By the way we don't expect this bound to be sharp. Indeed by "translating" (see Proposition 17) a long standing conjecture on uniform bundles (Conjecture 1), we conjecture that $l(r;a) = a - r + 1$ in this range (see Conjecture 2). Finally, with some ad hoc arguments, we show in the last section, that our conjecture holds true for $a \leq 10$ (actually we determine $l(r,a)$ for every r, $1 \leq r \leq a$, when $a \leq 10$).

Date: August 4, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A30, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Spaces of matrices, constant rank, uniform, vector bundles.

1. Generalities.

Following [19], to give $M \subset Hom(A,B)$, a sub-space of constant rank r, with $\dim(M) = n+1$, is equivalent to give on $\mathbb{P}^n$, an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F_M \arrow[r] & a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \arrow[rr, "\psi_M"] \arrow[dr, two heads] & & b.\mathcal{O} \arrow[r] & E_M \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& & & \mathcal{E}_M \arrow[ur, hook] & & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_M = Im(\psi_M), F_M, E_M$ are vector bundles of ranks $r, a-r, b-r$ (in the sequel we will drop the index M if no confusion can arise).

Indeed the inclusion $i : M \hookrightarrow Hom(A,B)$ is an element of $Hom(M, A^\vee \otimes B) \simeq M^\vee \otimes A^\vee \otimes B$ and can be seen as a morphism $\psi : A \otimes \mathcal{O} \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(M)$ (here $\mathbb{P}(M)$ is the projective space of lines of M). At every point of $\mathbb{P}(M)$, ψ has rank r, so the image, the kernel and the cokernel of ψ are vector bundles.

A different (but equivalent) description goes as follows: we can define $\psi : A \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}$ on $\mathbb{P}(M)$, by $(v, \lambda f) \to \lambda f(v)$.

The vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_M$ is of a particular type.

Definition 1. *A rank r vector bundle, E, on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is* uniform *if there exists $(a_1, ..., a_r)$ such that $E_L \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_L(a_i)$, for every line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ($(a_1, ..., a_r)$ is the splitting type of E, it is independent of L).*

The vector bundle F is homogeneous *if $g^*(F) \simeq F$, for every automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Clearly a homogeneous bundle is uniform (but the converse is not true).

The first remark is:

Lemma 2. *With notations as in (1), $c_1(E_M) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{E}_M$ is a uniform bundle of splitting type $(-1^c, 0^b)$, where $c = c_1(E_M), b = r - c$.*

Proof. Since E is globally generated, $c_1(E) \geq 0$ (look at E_L). Let $\mathcal{E}_L = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_L(a_i)$. We have $a_i \geq -1$, because $a.\mathcal{O}_L(-1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_L$. We have $a_i \leq 0$, because $\mathcal{E}_L \hookrightarrow b.\mathcal{O}_L$. So $-1 \leq a_i \leq 0, \forall i$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -c_1(E)$ the splitting type is as asserted and does not depend on the line L. $\square$

The classification of rank $r \leq n+1$ uniform bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is known ([20], [10], [12], [1]):

Theorem 3. *A rank $r \leq n+1$ uniform vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is one of the following: $\bigoplus^r \mathcal{O}(a_i)$, $T(a) \oplus k.\mathcal{O}(b)$, $\Omega(a) \oplus k.\mathcal{O}(b)$ $(0 \leq k \leq 1)$, $S^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a)$.*

We will use the following result (see [8]):

Theorem 4. (Evans-Griffith)
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a direct sum of line bundles if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, for $1 \le i \le r-1$.

The first part of the following Proposition is well known, the second maybe less.

Proposition 5. *Assume $n \ge 1$.*
(1) If $a \ge b+n$ the generic morphism $a.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \to b.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ is surjective.
(2) If $a < b+n$ no morphism $a.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \to b.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ can be surjective.

Proof. (1) It is enough to treat the case $a = b+n$ and, by semi-continuity, to produce one example of surjective morphism. Consider

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & \cdots & x_n & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & \cdots & x_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_0 & \cdots & & x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

(each row contains $b-1$ zeroes). It is clear that this matrix has rank b at any point. For a more conceptual (and complicated) proof see [14], Prop. 1.1.
(2) If $n = 1$, the statement is clear. Assume $n \ge 2$. If ψ is surjective we have $0 \to K \to a.\mathcal{O} \to b.\mathcal{O}(1) \to 0$ and K is a vector bundle of rank $r = a-b < n$. Clearly we have $H^i_*(K^\vee) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le r-1 \le n-2$. By Evans-Griffith's theorem, K splits as a direct sum of line bundles, hence the exact sequence splits ($n \ge 2$) and this is absurd.
This can also be proved by a Chern class computation (see [19]). □

From now on we will assume $A = B$ and write $l(r;a)$ instead of $l(r;a,a)$.

2. Known results.

We begin with some general facts:

Lemma 6. *Assume the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ corresponding to $M \subset End(A)$ of constant rank r, $\dim(A) = a$, is a direct sum of line bundles. Then $\dim(M) \le a-r+1$.*

Proof. Let $\dim(M) = n+1$ and assume $\mathcal{E} = k.\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus (r-k).\mathcal{O}$. If $k = 0$, the surjection $a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E} \simeq r.\mathcal{O}$, shows that $a \ge r+n$ (see Proposition 5). If $k > 0$, we have $0 \to k.\mathcal{O}(-1) \to (a-r+k).\mathcal{O} \to E \to 0$. Dualizing we get: $(a-r+k).\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow k.\mathcal{O}(1)$, hence (always by Proposition 5) $a-r+k \ge k+n$. So in any case $a-r \ge n$. □

Lemma 7. *For every r, $1 \le r \le a$, we have $l(r;a) \ge a-r+1$.*

Proof. Set $n = a - r$. On $\mathbb{P}^n$ we have a surjective morphism $a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} r.\mathcal{O}$ (Proposition 5). Composing with the inclusion $r.\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow r.\mathcal{O} \oplus (a-r).\mathcal{O}$, we get $\psi : a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \to a.\mathcal{O}$, of constant rank r. □

Finally we get:

Proposition 8. *(1) We have $l(r;a) \leq max\,\{r+1, a-r+1\}$*
(2) If $a \geq 2r$, then $l(r;a) = a-r+1$.

Proof. (1) Assume $r+1 \geq a-r+1$. If $\dim(M) = l(r,a) = n+1$ and if $r < n$, then ([12]) $\mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles and $n \leq a-r$. But then $r < n \leq a-r$, against our assumption. So $r+1 \geq n+1 = l(r;a)$.

If $a - r \geq r$. If $n > a-r$, then $n > r$ and this implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. Hence $n \leq a-r$.

(2) We have $max\,\{r+1, a-r+1\} = a-r+1$ if $a \geq 2r$. So $l(r;a) \leq a-r+1$ by (1). We conclude with Lemma 7. □

Remark 9. *Proposition 8 was first proved (by a different method) by Beasley* [4].

Very few indecomposable rank r vector bundles with $r < n$ are known on $\mathbb{P}^n$ ($n > 4$). One of these is the bundle of Tango (see [18], p. 84 for details). We will use it to prove:

Lemma 10. *We have $l(t+1; 2t+1) = t+2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 8 we know that $l(t+1;2t+1) \leq t+2$. So it is enough to give an example. Set $n = t+1$ and assume first $n \geq 3$. If $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the Tango bundle, then we have: $0 \to T(-2) \to (2n-1).\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{T} \to 0$. Dualizing we get $0 \to \mathcal{T}^\vee(-1) \to (2n-1).\mathcal{O}(-1) \to \Omega(1) \to 0$. Combining with the exact sequence: $0 \to \Omega(1) \to (n+1).\mathcal{O} \oplus (n-2).\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus (n-2).\mathcal{O} \to 0$, we get a morphism $(2n-1).\mathcal{O}(-1) \to (2n-1).\mathcal{O}$, of constant rank n.

If $n = 2$, using the fact that $T(-2) \simeq \Omega(1)$, from Euler's sequence, we get $3.\mathcal{O}(-1) \to 3.\mathcal{O}$, whose image is $T(-2)$. □

Remark 11. *Lemma 10 was first proved by Beasley* ([4])*, by a different method.*

Finally on the opposite side, when r is big compared with a, we have:

Proposition 12. (Sylvester [19])
We have:

$$l(a-1;a) = \begin{cases} 2 & if\ a\ is\ even \\ 3 & if\ a\ is\ odd \end{cases}$$

The proof is a Chern classes computation. The next case $a = r-2$ is more involved and there are only partial results:

Proposition 13. (Westwick [23])
We have $3 \leq l(a-2;a) \leq 5$. Moreover:

(1) *$l(a-2;a,a) \leq 4$ except if $a \equiv 2, 10 \pmod{12}$ where it could be $l(a-2;a,a) = 5$.*
(2) *If $a \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, then $l(a-2;a,a) = 3$.*
(3) *If $a \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, we have $l(2;4,4) = 3$ and $l(8;10,10) = 4$ (so a doesn't determine $l(a-2;a,a)$)*
(4) *If $a \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, then $l(a-2;a,a) \geq 4$. Moreover if $a \not\equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then $l(a-2;a,a) = 4$.*

Proof. We have $C(F) = C(E)(1-h)^a$. Let $C(F) = 1 + s_1h + s_2h^2$, $C(E) = 1 + t_1h + t_2h^2$. We get $s_1 = t_1 - a$ (coefficient of h); $s_2 = a(a-1)/2 - at_1 + t_2$ (coefficient of h^2). From the coefficient of h^3 it follows that: $t_2 = (a-1)[3t_1 - a + 2]/6$. The coefficient of h^4 yields after some computations: $(a+1)(a-2-2t_1) = 0$. It follows that $t_1 = \dfrac{(a-2)}{2}$ and $t_2 = \dfrac{(a-1)(a-2)}{12}$ if we are on $\mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 4$. Finally the coefficient of h^5 gives $(a+1)(a+2) = 0$, showing that $l(a-2;a) \leq 5$.

If we are on $\mathbb{P}^4$, from $t_1 = (a-2)/2$ we see that a is even. From $t_2 = (a-1)(a-2)/12$, we get $a^2 + 2 - 3a \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. This implies $a \equiv 1, 2, 5, 10 \pmod{12}$. Since a is even we get $a \equiv 2, 10 \pmod{12}$.

If $a = 3m$ and if we are on $\mathbb{P}^3$, then $6t_2 = (3m-1)(3t_1 - 3m + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, which is never satisfied. So $l(a-2,a) \leq 3$ in this case.

The other statements follow from the construction of suitable examples, see [23]. □

Remark 14. *On $\mathbb{P}^4$ a rank two vector bundle with $c_1 = 0$ has to verify the Schwarzenberger condition $c_2(c_2+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. If $l(a-2;a) = 5$ for some a, then $a = 12m+2$ or $a = 12m+10$. In the first case the condition yields $m \equiv 0, 5, 8, 9 \pmod{12}$, in the second case $m \equiv 2, 3, 6, 11 \pmod{12}$. So, as already noticed in [23], the lowest possible value of a is $a = 34$. This would give an indecomposable rank two vector bundle with Chern classes $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 24$. Indeed if we have an exact sequence (1), E and F cannot be both a direct sum of line bundles (because, by Theorem 4, $\mathcal{E}$ would also be a direct sum of line bundles, which is impossible).*

Finally we have:

Proposition 15. (Westwick [22])
For every a, r, $l(r;a) \leq 2a - 2r + 1$

As noticed in [16] (Theorem 1.4) this follows directly from a result of Lazarsfeld on ample vector bundles. We will come back later on this bound.

3. Further results and a conjecture.

There are examples, for every $n \geq 2$, of uniform but non homogeneous vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ of rank $2n$ ([6]. However it is a long standing conjecture that every uniform vector bundle of rank $r < 2n$ is homogeneous. Homogeneous vector bundles of rank $r < 2n$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ are classified ([2], so the conjecture can be formulated as follows:

Conjecture 1. *Every rank $r < 2n$ uniform vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a direct sum of bundles chosen among: $S^2T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a)$, $\wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(b)$, $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(c)$, $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)$; where $a, b, ..., e$ are integers.*

The conjecture holds true if $n \leq 3$ ([10], [3]).

Before to go on we point out an obvious but useful remark.

Remark 16. *Clearly an exact sequence (1) exists if and only if the dual sequence twisted by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ exists. So we may replace $\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E}^\vee(-1)$. If $\mathcal{E}$ has splitting type $(-1^c, 0^b)$, $\mathcal{E}^\vee(-1)$ has splitting type $(0^c, -1^b)$.*

Proposition 17. *(1) Take r, n such that $n \leq r < 2n$. Assume $a - r < n$ and that every rank r uniform bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is homogeneous. Then $l(r; a) \leq n$, except if $r = n, a = 2n - 1$ in which case $l(n; 2n - 1) = n + 1$.*
(2) Assume Conjecture 1 is true. Then $l(r; a) = a - r + 1$ for $r < (2a + 2)/3$, except if $r = (a + 1)/2$, in which case $l(r; a) = a - r + 2$.

Proof. (1) In order to prove the statement it is enough to show that there exists no subspace M of constant rank r and dimension $n+1$ under the assumption $a-r < n$, $n \leq r < 2n$ (except if $r = n, a = 2n-1$, in which case $l(n; 2n-1) = n+1$ by Lemma 10).

Such a space would give an exact sequence (1) with $\mathcal{E}$ uniform of rank $r < 2n$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles, by Lemma 6 we get $l(a; r) = a - r + 1 < n + 1$. Hence $\mathcal{E}$ is not a direct sum of line bundles. Since the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(-1^c, 0^{r-c})$ (Lemma 2), we see that: $\mathcal{E} \simeq \Omega(1) \oplus k.\mathcal{O} \oplus (r - k - n).\mathcal{O}(-1)$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq T(-2) \oplus t.\mathcal{O} \oplus (r - t - n).\mathcal{O}(-1)$, or, if $n = 4$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq (\wedge^2\Omega)(2)$.

Let's first get rid of this last case. The assumption $a - r < n$ implies $a \leq 9$. It is enough to show that there is no exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^4$, with $\mathcal{E} = (\wedge^2\Omega)(2)$ and $a = 9$. From $0 \to \mathcal{E} \to 9.\mathcal{O} \to E \to 0$, we get $\mathcal{C}(E) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}$. From the Koszul complex we have $0 \to \mathcal{E} \to \wedge^2 V \otimes \mathcal{O} \to \Omega(2) \to 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}(E) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega(2))$. Since $rk(E) = 3$ and $c_4(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)) = 1$, we get a contradiction.

So we may assume $\mathcal{E} \simeq \Omega(1) \oplus k.\mathcal{O} \oplus (r-k-n).\mathcal{O}(-1)$ or $\mathcal{E} \simeq T(-2) \oplus t.\mathcal{O} \oplus (r-t-n).\mathcal{O}(-1)$. By dualizing the exact sequence (1), we may assume $\mathcal{E} \simeq \Omega(1) \oplus k.\mathcal{O} \oplus (r-k-n).\mathcal{O}(-1)$. The exact sequence (1) yields:

$$0 \to \Omega(1) \oplus (r-n-k).\mathcal{O}(-1) \to (a-k).\mathcal{O} \to E \to 0 \ \ (*)$$

Since $H^i_*(\Omega) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, from the exact sequence $(*)$ we get $H^i_*(E) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Since $rk(E) = a-r < n$, it follows from Evans-Griffith's theorem that $E \simeq \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(a_i)$. We have $a_i \geq 0$, $\forall i$, because E is globally generated. Moreover one a_i at least must be equal to 1 (otherwise $h^1(E^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$ and the sequence $(*)$ splits, which is impossible). So $a_1 = 1$, $a_i \geq 0, i > 1$. It follows that $h^0(E) \geq (n+1) + (a-r-1) = n+a-r$. On the other hand $h^0(E) = a-k$ from $(*)$.

If $k < r-n$, we see that one of the a_i's, $i > 1$, must be > 0. This implies $h^0(E) \geq 2(n+1) + (a-r-2) = 2n+a-r$. So $a-k = h^0(E) \geq 2n+a-r$. Since $a \geq a-k$, it follows that $a \geq 2n+a-r$ and so $r \geq 2n$, against our assumption.

We conclude that $k = r-n$ and $E = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus (a-r-1).\mathcal{O}$. In particular $\mathcal{E} = \Omega(1) \oplus (r-n).\mathcal{O}$ ($(*)$ is Euler's sequence plus some isomorphisms). We turn now to the other exact sequence:

$$0 \to F \to a.\mathcal{O}(-1) \to \Omega(1) \oplus (r-n).\mathcal{O} \to 0 \ \ (+)$$

We have $\mathcal{C}(F) = (1-h)^a.\mathcal{C}(\Omega(1))^{-1}$. Here $\mathcal{C}(F) = 1 + c_1h + ... + c_nh^n$ is the Chern polynomial of F (computations are made in $\mathbb{Z}[h]/(h^{n+1})$. From the Euler sequence $\mathcal{C}(\Omega(1))^{-1} = 1+h$. It follows that:

$$\mathcal{C}(F) = (1+h).\left(\sum_{i=0}^{a} \binom{a}{i}(-1)^i h^i\right)$$

Since $rk(F) = a-r < n$, $c_n(F) = 0$. Since $a \geq r \geq n$, it follows that $\binom{a}{n} = \binom{a}{n-1}$. This implies $a = 2n-1$.

Observe that $r \geq n$ (because $k = r-n \geq 0$). If $r \geq n+1$, then $rk(F) \leq n-2$, hence $c_{n-1}(F) = 0$. This implies: $\binom{2n-1}{n-1} = \binom{2n-1}{n-2}$, which is impossible.

We conclude that $r = n$ and $a = 2n-1$, so we are looking at $l(n; 2n-1)$. By Lemma 10 we know that $l(n; 2n-1) = n+1$.

This proves (1).

(2) Now we apply (1) by setting $n := a-r+1$. Clearly $n > a-r$. The condition $n \leq r < 2n$ translates in: $(a+1)/2 \leq r < (2a+2)/3$. So, under these assumptions, we get $l(r; a) \leq n = a-r+1$, except if $r = n$, $a = 2n-1$. In this latter case we know that $l(n; 2n-1) = n+1$ (Lemma 10). We conclude with Lemma 7. $\square$

Since Conjecture 1 is true for $r \leq n+1$ and $n = 3, r = 5$ ([3]), we may summarize our results as follows:

Theorem 18.
(1) If $r \leq a/2$, then $l(r,a) = a - r + 1$
(2) If a is odd, $l(\frac{a+1}{2}; a) = \frac{a+1}{2} + 1$ $(= a - r + 2)$
(3) If $\frac{(2a+2)}{3} > r \geq \frac{a}{2} + 1$, then $l(r;a) \leq r - 1$.
(4) If a is even: $l(\frac{a}{2} + 1; a) = \frac{a}{2}$ $(= a - r + 1)$.
(5) If $r \geq (2a+2)/3$, then $l(r,a) \leq 2(a-r) + 1$
(6) We have $l(5;7) = 3$ $(= a - r + 1)$.

Proof. (1) This is Proposition 8.
(2) This is Lemma 10.
(3) Set $n = r - 1$. Uniform vector bundles of rank $r = n+1$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ are homogeneous. We have $n \leq r < 2n$ if $r \geq 3$ and $a - r < n$ if $r \geq (a/2)+1$. If $r \leq 2$ and $r \geq (a/2)+1$, then $a \leq 2$. Hence $r = a = 2$ and $l(2;2) = 1$. So the assumption of Proposition 17, (1) are fulfilled. We conclude that $l(r,a) \leq r - 1$.
(4) Follows from (3) and Lemma 7.
(5) This is Proposition 15.
(6) Since uniform vector bundles of rank 5 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ are homogeneous, this follows from Proposition 17 (1) and Lemma 7. $\square$

Remark 19. *Point 3 of the theorem improves the previous bound of Beasley but we don't expect this bound to be sharp (see Conjecture 2). Points 4 and 6 also are new. The bound of (5) is so far the best known bound in this range. It is reached for some values of a in the case $r = a - 1$ (Proposition 12), but already in the case $r = a - 2$ we don't know if it is sharp.*

It is natural at this point to make the following:

Conjecture 2. *Let a, r be integers such that $(2a+2)/3 > r > (a/2) + 1$, then $l(r;a) = a - r + 1$.*

Remark 20. *This conjecture should be easier to prove than Conjecture 1, indeed in terms of vector bundles it translates as follows: every rank $r < 2n$ uniform vector bundle, $\mathcal{E}$, fitting in an exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is homogeneous.*

By the way the condition $r < 2n$ seems necessary. If $n = 2$ this can be seen as follows. Consider the following matrix (taken from [19]):

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & -x_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that Ψ has rank four at any point of $\mathbb{P}^2$, hence we get:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(b) \to 5.\mathcal{O}(-1) \stackrel{\Psi}{\to} 5.\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}(c) \to 0$$

with $\mathcal{E} = Im(\Psi)$ a rank four uniform bundle. On the line L of equation $x_2 = 0$, Ψ can be written:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_L(-3) \hookrightarrow & 3.\mathcal{O}_L(-1) & \twoheadrightarrow 2.\mathcal{O}_L & & \\ & & \oplus & & \\ & & 2.\mathcal{O}_L(-1) \hookrightarrow 3.\mathcal{O}_L & \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(2) & \end{array}$$

It follows that $b = -3, c = 2$ and the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(-1^2, 0^2)$. Now rank four homogeneous bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$ are classified (Prop. 3, p.18 of [7]) and are direct sum of bundles chosen among $\mathcal{O}(a), T(b), S^2T(c), S^3T(d)$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is homogeneous the only possibility is $\mathcal{E}(1) \simeq T(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, but in this case the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}(-2) \to 5.\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E}(1) \to 0$, would split, which is absurd. We conclude that $\mathcal{E}$ is not homogeneous. In fact $\mathcal{E}$ is one of the bundles found by Elencwajg ([11]).

Remark 21. *The results of this section and the previous one determine $l(r; a)$ for $a \leq 8$, $1 \leq r \leq a$. To get a complete list for $a \leq 10$, we have to show, according to Conjecture 2, that $l(6; 9) = l(7; 10) = 4$. This will be done in the next section.*

4. Some partial results.

In the following lemma we relax the assumption $r < 2n$ in Proposition 17 when $c_1(\mathcal{E}(1)) = 1$.

Lemma 22. *Assume we have an exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $rk(F) = a - r < n$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}(1)) = 1$. Then $a = 2n - 1$ and $r = n$.*

Proof. If $c_1(\mathcal{E}(1)) = 1$, $\mathcal{E}(1)$ has splitting type $(1, 0^{r-1})$. It follows from [13], Prop. IV, 2.2, that $\mathcal{E}(1) = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus (r-1).\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{E}(1) = T(-1) \oplus (r-n).\mathcal{O}$. From the exact sequence $0 \to F(1) \to a.\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E}(1) \to 0$, we get $c_1(F(1)) = -1$. Since $F(1) \hookrightarrow a.\mathcal{O}$, it follows that $F(1)$ is uniform of splitting type $(-1, 0^{a-r-1})$. Since $rk(F) < n$, $F(1) = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus (a-r-1).\mathcal{O}$. This shows that necessarily $\mathcal{E}(1) = T(-1) \oplus (r-n).\mathcal{O}$. Now from the exact sequence: $0 \to T(-1) \oplus (r-n).\mathcal{O} \to a.\mathcal{O}(1) \to E(1) \to 0$, we get $\mathcal{C}(E(1)) = (\mathcal{C}(t(-1))^{-1}(1+h)^a$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}(E(1)) = (1-h)(1+h)^a$. Since $rk(E) < n$, we have $c_n(E(1)) = 0$ and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 17, we get $a = 2n - 1, r = n$. □

Remark 23. *Since we know that $l(n; 2n-1) = n+1$ (Lemma 10), we may, from now on, assume $c_1(\mathcal{E}(1)) \geq 2$.*

Since $\mathcal{E}(1)$ is globally generated, taking $r - 1$ general sections we get:

$$0 \to (r-1).\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E}(1) \to \mathcal{I}_X(b) \to 0 \qquad (2)$$

Here X is a pure codimension two subscheme, which is smooth if $n \leq 5$ and which is irreducible, reduced, with singular locus of codimension ≥ 6, if $n \geq 6$.

Lemma 24. *Assume $n \geq 3$ and $rk(F) < n$. If X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (aCM), i.e. if $H^i_*(\mathcal{I}_X) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, then F is a direct sum of line bundles.*

Proof. From (2) we get $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. By Serre duality $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. From the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{E}^\vee \to a.\mathcal{O}(1) \to F^\vee \to 0$, we get $H^i_*(F^\vee) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Since $F^\vee$ has rank $< n$, by Evans-Griffith theorem we conclude that $F^\vee$ (hence also F) is a direct sum of line bundles. $\square$

Proposition 25. *Assume that we have an exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $rk(F) < 4$. Let $(-1^c, 0^{r-c})$ be the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$. If $r > 4$ and if F is not a direct sum of line bundles, then $c, r-c \geq 4$; in particular $rk(\mathcal{E}) \geq 8$.*

Proof. Assume c or $b := r - c < 4$. By dualizing the exact sequence 1 if necessary, we may assume $b < 4$. We have an exact sequence (2):

$$0 \to (r-1).\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E}(1) \to \mathcal{I}_X(b) \to 0$$

where $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth surface of degree $d = c_2(\mathcal{E}(1))$. If $b < 3$, X is either a complete intersection $(1, d)$ or lies on a hyper-quadric. In any case X is a.C.M. By Lemma 24, F is a direct sum of line bundles.

Assume $b = 3$. From the classification of smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ we know that if $d \leq 3$, then X is a.C.M. Now X is either a complete intersection $(3, 3)$, hence a.C.M. or linked to a smooth surface, S, of degree $9 - d$ by such a complete intersection. If S is a.C.M. the same holds for X. From the classification of smooth surfaces of low degree in $\mathbb{P}^4$, if X is not a.C.M. we have two possibilities:
(i) X is a Veronese surface and S is an elliptic quintic scroll,
(ii) X is an elliptic quintic scroll and S is a Veronese surface.

(i) If $X = V$ is a Veronese surface then we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to 3.\mathcal{O} \to \Omega(2) \to \mathcal{I}_V(3) \to 0$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}(1)) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega(2)) = 1 + 3h + 4h^2 + 2h^3 + h^4$. So $\mathcal{C}(F(1)) = (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}(1))^{-1} = 1 - 3h + 5h^2 - 5h^3$. It follows that F (and hence E also) has rank three. From $\mathcal{C}(E(1)) = (1+h)^a.\mathcal{C}(F(1))$ and $c_4(E(1)) = 0$, we get $0 = a(a-5)(a-6)(a-7)$. So $a \leq 7$. Since $a = rk(E) + r$, we get a contradiction.

(ii) If $X = E$ is an elliptic quintic scroll, then we have:

$$0 \to T(-2) \to 5.\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{I}_E(3) \to 0$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}(1)) = \mathcal{C}(T(-2))^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}(F(1)) = \mathcal{C}(T(-2)) = 1 - 3h + 4h^2 - 2h^3 + h^4$, in contradiction with $rk(F) < 4$. $\square$

Lemma 26. *Assume we have an exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $a-r<4$. If $r>4$ and if F is a direct sum of line bundles, then $rk(\mathcal{E})\geq 8$.*

Proof. If $r=5$ we conclude with Theorem 18, (3), (6). If $r=6$, then $a\leq 9$ and it is enough to show that $l(6;9)\leq 4$ i.e. that there is no exact sequence (1) on $\mathbb{P}^4$. In the same way, if $r=7$ it is enough to show that $l(7;10)\leq 4$.

If $r=6$, we may assume that the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(-1^1,0^5),(-1^2,0^4),(-1^3,0^3)$. By dualizing and by Lemma 22 we may disregard the first case. It follows that $c_1(F)=-7$ or -6. If $r=7$, in a similar way, we may assume that the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(-1^2,0^5)$ or $(-1^3,0^4)$. So $c_1(F)=-7$ or -8.

Let $\mathcal{C}(F(1))=(1-f_1h)(1-f_2h)(1-f_3h)$. We have $\mathcal{C}(E(1))=(1+h)^a\mathcal{C}(F(1))$. From $c_4(E(1))=0$ we get:

$$\psi(a):=a^3-a^2(4s+6)+a(12d+12s+11)-12d-8s-24t-6=0$$

Where $s=-c_1(F(1))=\sum f_i$, $d=c_2(F(1))=\sum_{i<j}f_if_j$, $t=-c_3(F(1))=\prod f_i$. We have $f_i\geq 0,\forall i$ and $3\leq s\leq 5$.

We have to check that this equality can't be satisfied for $a=9,10$. We have $\psi(9)=8(42-28s+12d-37)$. If $\psi(9)=0$ we get $3\mid s$. It follows that $s=3$. So the condition is: $4d-t=14$. If one of the f_i's is zero, then $t=0$ and we get a contradiction. So $f_i>0,\forall i$ and the only possibility is $(f_i)=(1,1,1)$, but then $4d-t=11\neq 14$.

For $a=10$, we get $\psi(10)=504-288s+108d-24t$. If $f_1=f_2=0$, then $d=t=0$ and we get $s=504/288$ which is not an integer. If $f_1=0$, then $t=0$, $d=f_2f_3$, $s=f_2+f_3$. If $s\geq 4$, $504+108d=288s\geq 1152$. It follows that $d\geq 6$. If $d=6$ we have necessarily $s=5$ and $\psi(10)\neq 0$. So $s=3$ and $d=2$, but also in this case $\psi(10)\neq 0$. We conclude that $f_i>0,\forall i$. So we are left with $(f_i)=(1,1,1)$, $(1,1,2)$, $(1,1,3)$, $(1,2,2)$. In any of these cases one easily checks that $\psi(10)\neq 0$. □

Corollary 27. *We have $l(6;9)=l(7;10)=4$. In particular $l(r,a)$ is known for $a\leq 10$ and $1\leq r\leq a$ and Conjecture 2 holds true for $a\leq 10$.*

Proof. We have seen that $l(6;9),l(7;10)\leq 4$, by Lemma 7 we have equality. Then all the other values of $l(r;a)$ are given by Theorem 18, Proposition 12 and Proposition 13, if $a\leq 10$. □

References

[1] Ballico, E.: *Uniform vector bundles of rk $(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$*, Tsukuba J. Math., **7**, 215-226 (1983)

[2] Ballico, E.-Ellia, Ph.: *Fibrés homogènes sur $\mathbb{P}^n$*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, t.294, 403-406 (1982)

[3] Ballico, E.-Ellia, Ph.: *Fibrés uniformes de rang 5 sur $\mathbb{P}^3$*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **111**, n.1, 59-87 (1983)

[4] Beasley, L.B.: *Spaces od matrices of equal rank*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **38**, 227-237 (1981)

[5] Boralevi, A.-Faenzi, D.-Mezzetti, E.: *Linear spaces of matrices of constant rank and instanton bundles*, Advances in Math., **248**, 895-920 (2013)

[6] Drezet, J.M.: *Exemples de fibrés uniformes non homogènes sur* $\mathbb{P}^n$, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série A, t.**291**, 125-128 (1980)

[7] Drezet, J.M.: *Fibrés uniformes de type* $(0, ..., 0, -1, ..., -1)$ *sur* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine u. angew. Math., **325**, 1-27 (1981)

[8] Ein, L.: *An analogue of Max Noether's theorem*, Duke Math. J., **52** (1985)

[9] Eisenbud, D.-Harris, J.: *Vector spaces of matrices of low rank*, Advances in Math., **70**, 135-155 (1988)

[10] Elencwajg, G.: *Les fibrés uniformes de rang 3 sur* $\mathbb{P}^2$ *sont homogènes*, Math. Ann., **231**, 217-227 (1978)

[11] Elencwajg, G.: *Des fibrés uniformes non homogènes*, Math. Ann., **239**, 185-192 (1979)

[12] Elencwajg, G.-Hirschowitz, A.-Schneider, M.: *Les fibrés uniformes de rang au plus n sur* $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ *sont ceux qu'on croit*, in *vector bundles and differential equations*, Proc. Nice 1979, Progr. Math., **7**, 37-63, Birkhaeuser (1980)

[13] Ellia, Ph.: *Sur les fibrés uniformes de rang* $(n+1)$ *sur* $\mathbb{P}^n$, Mém. Soc. Math. France (Nouvelle série), **7**, (1982)

[14] Ellia, Ph.-Hirschowitz, A.: *Voie ouest I: génération de certains fibrés sur les espaces projectifs et application*, J. Algebraic Geometry, **1**, 531-547 (1992)

[15] Fania, M.L.-Mezzetti, E.: *Vector spaces of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **434**, 2388-2403 (2011)

[16] Ilic, B.-Landsberg J.M.: *On symmetric degeneracy loci, spaces of symmetric matrices of constant rank and dual varieties*, Math. Ann., **314**, 159-174 (1999)

[17] Manivel, L.-Mezzetti, E.: *On linear space of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank*, Manuscripta Math., **117**, 319-331 (2005)

[18] Okonek, C.-Schneider, M.-Spindler, H: *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Math., **3**, Birkhaeuser (1980)

[19] Sylvester, J.: *On the dimension of spaces of linear transformations satisfying rank conditions*, Linear Algebra and its App., **78**, 1-10 (1986)

[20] Van de Ven, A.: *On uniform vector bundles*, Math. Ann., **195**, 245-248 (1972)

[21] Westwick, R.: *Spaces of linear transformations of equal rank*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **5**, 49-64 (1972)

[22] Westwick, R.: *Spaces of matrices of fixed rank*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **20**, 171-174 (1987)

[23] Westwick, R.: *Spaces of matrices of fixed rank, II*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **235**, 163-169 (1996)

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, 35 via Machiavelli, 44100 Ferrara

E-mail address: `Philippe Ellia: phe@unife.it`

`Paolo Menegatti: paolo.menegatti@student.unife.it`