A RESTRICTION THEOREM FOR STABLE RANK TWO VECTOR BUNDLES ON $\mathbb{P}^3$.

PHILIPPE ELLIA - LAURENT GRUSON

Abstract. Let E be a normalized, rank two vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let H be a general plane. If E is stable with $c_2(E) \geq 4$, we show that $h^0(E_H(1)) \leq 2 + c_1$. It follows that $h^0(E(1)) \leq 2 + c_1$. We also show that if E is properly semi-stable and indecomposable, $h^0(E_H(1)) = 3$.

1. Introduction.

We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let E denote a stable, normalized ($-1 \leq c_1(E) \leq 0$) rank two vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$. By Barth's restriction theorem ([1]) if H is a general plane, then E_H is stable (i.e. $h^0(E_H) = 0$) except if E is a null-correlation bundle ($c_1 = 0, c_2 = 1$). In this note we prove:

Theorem 1. *Let E be a stable, normalized, rank two vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Assume $c_2(E) \geq 4$. Let H be a general plane, then:*
(a) $h^0(E_H(1)) \leq 1$ if $c_1 = -1$ and
(b) $h^0(E_H(1)) \leq 2$ if $c_1 = 0$.
In particular it follows that $h^0(E(1)) \leq 2 + c_1$.

The idea of the proof is as follows: if the theorem is not true then every general plane contains a unique line, L, such that E_L has splitting type $(r, -r+c_1)$, $r \geq c_2 - 1$. We call such a line a "super-jumping line". Then we show that these super jumping lines are all contained in a same plane, H. The plane H is very unstable for E. Performing a reduction step with H, we get a contradiction.

We observe (Remark 5) that the assumptions (and conclusions) of the theorem are sharp.
For sake of completeness we show (Proposition 6) that if E is properly semi-stable, indecomposable, then $h^0(E_H(1)) = 3$ for H a general plane.

2. Proof of the theorem.

We need some definitions:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60.
Key words and phrases. Rank two vector bundles, projective space, restriction, plane.

Definition 2. *Let E be a stable, normalized rank two vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$. A plane H is* stable *if E_H is stable; it is* semi-stable *if $h^0(E_H) \neq 0$ but $h^0(E_H(-1)) = 0$. A plane is* special *if $h^0(E_H(-m)) \neq 0$ with $m > 1$.*
A line is general if the splitting type of E_L is $(0, c_1)$. A line L is a super jumping line *(s.j.l.) if the splitting type of E_L is $(r, -r + c_1)$, with $r \geq c_2 - 1$.*

Lemma 3. *Let E be a stable, normalized rank two vector on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Assume $c_2(E) \geq 4$ and $h^0(E_H(1)) > 2 + c_1$ if H is a general plane. Then:*
(i) Every stable plane contains a unique s.j.l. all the other lines are general or, if $c_1 = 0$, of type $(1, -1)$.
(ii) A semi-stable plane contains at most one s.j.l.
(iii) There is at most one special plane.

Proof. (i) If H is a stable plane every section of $E_H(1)$ vanishes in codimesion two:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_H \to E_H(1) \to \mathcal{I}_{Z,H}(2 + c_1) \to 0 \ \ (*)$$

We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z,H}(2+c_1)) \geq 2+c_1$. If $c_1 = -1$, Z has degree c_2 and is contained in a line L_H. If $c_1 = 0$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z,H}(2)) \geq 2$. Since $\deg(Z) = c_2 + 1 > 4$, the conics have a fixed line, L_H, and there is left a pencil of lines to contain the residual scheme of Z with respect to L_H. It follows that the residual scheme is one point and that $length\,(Z \cap L_H) = c_2$. So in both cases there is a line, L_H, containing a subscheme of Z of length c_2. Restricting $(*)$ to L_H we get $E_{L_H} \to \mathcal{O}_{L_H}(1 + c_1 - c_2)$. It follows that the splitting type of E_{L_H} is $(c_2 - 1, c_1 - c_2 + 1)$, hence L_H is a s.j.l. If $L \neq L_H$ is another line in H, let s be the length of $L \cap Z$. Restricting $(*)$ to L we get:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_L(s - 1) \to E_L \to \mathcal{O}_L(c_1 - s + 1) \to 0$$

This sequence splits except maybe if $c_1 = s = 0$ (in this case the splitting type is $(0, 0)$ or $(1, -1)$). If L is a s.j.l. then $s \geq c_2$, hence $L = L_H$. This shows that a stable plane contains a unique s.j.l. Since $s \leq 1$ (resp. $s \leq 2$) if $c_1 = -1$ (resp. $c_1 = 0$), a line different from L_H is general or has splitting type $(1, -1)$.
(ii) If H is semi-stable then we have:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_H \to E_H \to \mathcal{I}_{T,H}(c_1) \to 0 \ \ (**)$$

Here $\deg(T) = c_2$. If L is a line in H let s denote the length of $L \cap T$. From $(**)$ we get: $0 \to \mathcal{O}_L(s) \to E_L \to \mathcal{O}_L(c_1 - s) \to 0$. This sequence splits, so the splitting type of E_L is $(s, -s + c_1)$. If L is a s.j.l. then $s \geq c_2 - 1$ and L contains a subscheme of length at least $\deg(T) - 1$ of T. Since $c_2 \geq 4$, such a s.j.l. is uniquely defined. This shows that an unstable plane contains at most one s.j.l.
(iii) We may assume $h^0(E_H(-m-1)) = 0$. We have:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_H \to E_H(-m) \to \mathcal{I}_{X,H}(c_1 - 2m) \to 0 \ \ (***)$$

If L is a general line of H $(L \cap X = \emptyset)$ then E_L has splitting type $(m, -m + c_1)$, with $m > 1$.

Let's show that such a special plane, if it exists, is unique. Assume H_1, H_2 are two special planes. Let H be a general stable plane. If $L_i = H \cap H_i$, then L_1, L_2 are two lines of H with splitting type $(k_i, -k_i + c_1)$, $k_i > 1$. By (i) this is impossible. □

We are ready for the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1:
Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}_3^*$ be the dense open subset of stable planes. We have a map $\varphi : U \to G(1,3)$ defined by $\varphi(H) = L_H$ where L_H is the unique s.j.l. contained in H. So φ gives a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}_3^* - - - > G(1,3)$. We claim that φ doesn't extend as a morphism to $\mathbb{P}_3^*$. Indeed in the contrary case we would have a section of the incidence variety $I = \{(H, L) \mid L \subset H\} \to \mathbb{P}_3^*$. Since $I \simeq Proj(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_3^*}(1))$ (indeed the fibre at H of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_3^*}(1)$ is the hyperplane corresponding to H), such a section corresponds to an injective morphism of vector bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_3^*} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}_3^*}(k)$, for some k. But there is no twist of $T_{\mathbb{P}_3^*}$ with a non-vanishing section. This can be seen by looking at $c_3(T_{\mathbb{P}_3^*}(k))$ or with the folllowing argument: the quotient would be a rank two vector bundle with $H_*^1 = 0$, hence, by Horrocks' theorem, a direct sum of line bundles which is absurd.

If H is a singular point of the "true" rational map φ, then, by Zariski's Main Theorem, H contains infinitely many s.j.l. This implies that H is the unique special plane (and that φ has a single singular point). We claim that every s.j.l. is contained in H. Indeed let R be a s.j.l. not contained in H. Let $z = R \cap H$. There exists a s.j.l. $L \subset H$ through z. The plane $\langle R, L \rangle$ contains two s.j.l. hence it is special: contradiction.

Since there are ∞^2 s.j.l. we conclude that the general splitting type on the special plane H is $(c_2 - 1, -c_2 + c_1 + 1)$. So $m = c_2 - 1$ i.e. $h^0(E_H(-c_2 + 1)) \neq 0$ (and this is the least twist having a section). Now we perform a reduction step (see [6] Prop. 9.1).

If $c_1 = 0$ we get:

$$0 \to E' \to E \to \mathcal{I}_{W,H}(-c_2 + 1) \to 0$$

where E' is a rank two reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_1' = -1, c_2' = 1, c_3' = c_2^2 - c_2 + 1$. Since E is stable, E' too is stable. By [6] Theorem 8.2 we get a contradiction.

If $c_1 = -1$, since $E_H^* = E_H(1)$ we get:

$$0 \to E'(-1) \to E \to \mathcal{I}_{R,H}(-c_2) \to 0$$

where the Chern classes of E' are: $c_1' = 0, c_2' = 0$, $c_3' = c_2^2$. Since E is stable E' is semi-stable. By [6] Theorem 8.2 we get, again, a contradiction. □

Remark 4. *The argument to show that φ doesn't extend to a morphism is taken from* [4]. *Another way to prove this is to consider the surfaces S_L: if L is a general line every plane through L is (semi-)stable, the general one being stable. So almost every plane of the pencil contains a unique s.j.l. taking the closure yields a ruled*

surface S_L. Then one shows that $S_L \neq S_D$ if L, D are general and then concludes by looking at $S_L \cap S_D$ (see [3]*).*

Remark 5. *The assumption $c_2 \geq 4$ cannot be weakened. If $c_1 = -1$ every stable rank vector bundle, E, with $c_1 = -1, c_2 = 2$ is such that $h^0(E_H(1)) = 2$ for a general plane H (see* [7]*). If $E(1)$ is associated to four skew lines, then $h^0(E_H(1)) = 3$ for H general and $c_i(E) = (0, 3)$.*
On the other hand a special t'Hooft bundle, ($E(1)$ associated to $c_2 + 1$ disjoint lines on a quadric) is stable with $c_1(E) = 0$ and, if $c_2 \geq 4$, satisfies $h^0(E_H(1)) = 2$ for H general.
By the way, Theorem 1 gives back $h^0(E(1)) \leq 2$ for an instanton, a result first proved by Boehmer and Trautmann (see [8] *and references therein).*
Finally let $E(1)$ be associated to the disjoint union of $c_2/2$ double lines of arithmetic genus -2. Then E is stable with $c_1 = -1$ and, if $c_2 > 2$, $h^0(E_H(1)) = 1$ for H general.

Concerning properly semi-stable bundles ($c_1(E) = 0, h^0(E) \neq 0, h^0(E(-1)) = 0$) we have:

Proposition 6. *Let E be a properly semi-stable rank two vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Assume E indecomposable. If H is a general plane then $h^0(E_H(1)) = 3$.*

Proof. We have $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to \mathcal{I}_C \to 0$, where C is a curve (E doesn't split) with $\omega_C(4) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Twisting and restricting to a general plane: $0 \to \mathcal{O}_H(1) \to E_H(1) \to \mathcal{I}_{C\cap H,H}(1) \to 0$. If $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C\cap H,H}(1)) \neq 0$ it follows from a theorem of Strano ([9], [2]) that C is a plane curve, but this is impossible ($\omega_C(4) \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_C$ for a plane curve). □

Remark 7. *To apply Strano's theorem we need $ch(k) = 0$ (see* [5]*). The previous argument gives a quick proof of Theorem 1 in case $c_1 = -1, h^0(E(1)) \neq 0$. In fact this remark has been the starting point of this note.*

Remark 8. *Let C be a plane curve of degree d. A non-zero section of $\omega_C(3) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(d)$ yields: $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{F}(1) \to \mathcal{I}_C(1) \to 0$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a stable rank two reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $(-1, d, d^2)$. If H is a general plane, $h^0(\mathcal{F}_H(1)) = 2$ if $d > 1$ (resp. 3 if $d = 1$). Similarly, considering the disjoint union of a plane curve and of a line, we get stable reflexive sheaf with $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{F}_H(1)) = 3$. So Theorem 1 doesn't hold for stable reflexive sheaves. The interested reader can try to classify the exceptions.*

References

[1] Barth, W.: *Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$*, Math. Ann., **226**, 125-150 (1977)
[2] Ellia, Ph.: *Sur les lacunes d'Halphen*, Springer LNM **1389**, 43-65 (1989)

[3] Ellia, Ph.: *Some vanishing for the cohomology of stable rank two vector bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^3$, J. reine angew. Math., **451**, 1-14 (1981)
[4] Franco, D.: *Some vanishings for the cohomology of rank 2 stable reflexive sheaves on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *and for their restriction to a general plane*, Comm. in Algebra, **23** (6), 2281-2289, (1995)
[5] Hartshorne, R.: *The genus of space curves*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara- Sez. VII- Sc. Mat., vol XL, 207-223 (1994)
[6] Hartshorne, R.: *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann., **254**, 121-176 (1980)
[7] Hartshorne, R.-Sols, I.: *Stable rank two vector bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with* $c_1 = -1, c_2 = 2$, J. reine u. angewandte Math., **325**, 145-152 (1981)
[8] Nuessler, T.-Trautmann, G.: *Multiple Koszul structures and instanton bundles*, International J. of Math., vol. 5, n.3, 373-388 (1994)
[9] Strano, R.: *A characterization of complete intersection curves in* $\mathbb{P}^3$, Proc. A.M.S., **104**, 711-715 (1988)

Dipartimento di Matematica, 35 via Machiavelli, 44100 Ferrara, Italy
E-mail address: phe@unife.it

L.M.V., Université de Versailles-St Quentin, 45 Av. des Etats-Unis, 78035 Versailles, France
E-mail address: Laurent.Gruson@uvsq.fr